

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: C

Males I alcill alla Haacillaik Ollioo	\sim
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	\mathcal{C}
Washington, D.C. 20231	

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/424,04	0 12/03/	99 PERCY VED	29	PE 64-9 049
[-		٦	EXA	AMINER
•	HM22/1011 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAMN PLLC		HELMS,L		
			MENUE, N.W., SUITE 600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTO	N DC 20036	5-533Ý •	1642 DATE MAILED:	10/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/424,840		BERCHTOLD ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Larry R. Heli	ms	1642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the c	ver sheet with the	correspondence address -			
Period for	Reply	ND DEDIVIS SET TO	EXPIRE 1 MONTH	H(S) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 August 2001 .					
1)⊠	•	2b)⊠ This action is no					
2a)☐	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to the merits is			
3)	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the a		_				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from cons	sideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requ	irement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the			inor			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ∟ o	objected to by the Ex	xammer.			
_	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) b	ne neig in abeyance.	oroved by the Evaminer			
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction file			DIOVED BY the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are re		oc action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to	D DY LITE EXAMINET.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	. San Sanainn muianiku uma	lor 35 11 9 C & 110	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
i i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	i tor toreign priority und	iei 33 U.S.C. 9 T i	5(a)-(u) or (i).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: Out Some * c) None of:	dealments have been	received				
	1. Certified copies of the priority			eation No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim						
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	Attachment(s)						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon further review the restriction requirement of 7/16/01, paper #10 has been vacated. A new restriction requirement follows.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

To have a general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1, the inventions need to be linked by a special technical feature. The special technical feature recited in claim 1 is a nucleic acid which encodes a heavy chain of a human antibody comprising a CDR3 region which encodes an amino acid sequence having an equivalent ability to bind to GPIIb/IIIa (see claim 1(d)). In view of this Kunicki et al (J. Autoimmun 4:433-446, 1991) reads on the claim. Kunicki et al teach a nucleic acid which encodes a heavy chain of a human antibody which comprises a CDR region which binds to GPIIb/IIIa (see abstract). Therefore the technical feature recited in claim 1 is not special. Accordingly the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to nucleic acids, vectors, and host cells.

Group II, claim(s) 13-16, 19, 26-27, drawn to polypeptides and compositions comprising such.

Group III, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to an antibody, specifically an anti-idiotypic antibody.

Art Unit: 1642

Group IV, claim(s) 20-21 and 28-29, drawn to a method of preparing an agent.

Group V, claim(s) 22, drawn to a method of dissolving or preventing the formation of thrombi.

Group VI, claim(s) 23-25, drawn to a method of isolating phagemid clones.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive 3. concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As set forth above, in view of the teaching of Kunicki et al the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because the technical feature of claim 1 is not special.

Inventions of Groups I, II, and III represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. The nucleic acids of Group I, the polypeptides of group II, and the anti-idiotypic antibody of group III are all structurally and chemically different from each other. The polynucleotide is made by nucleic acid synthesis, the polypeptide is made by translating the mRNA, while the antibody is raised by immunization. Furthermore, the polynucleotide/expression cassette can be used for hybridization screening and the antibody can be used to immunopurify the antigen, for example. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus the inventions I, II, and III are patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 1642

The methods of Inventions IV-VI differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used. Invention IV recites a method of preparing an agent; Invention V recites a method of dissolving or preventing the formation of thrombi, and Invention VI recites a method of isolating phagemid clones. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. PATENT shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus Inventions IV-VI are separate and distinct in having different method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used and are patentably distinct.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polyeptides of Group II can be used in a materially different method such as the production of antibodies in addition to the materially different method of Group IV.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If Group I is elected applicant is required to elect one nucleic acid comprising the sequence corresponding to one CDR1, one CDR2, and one CDR3. Currently the sequences are SEQ ID NO: 31-57, 74, 76,-79, 80-81, 83-84, 86-88, 91-98, 100-101, 103-109, 116, 122, 124-125, and 127. Each nucleic acid encoding the polypeptide comprising a CDR1, CDR2, and CDR3 would be structurally distinct.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

Art Unit: 1642

matter and different searches in the patent literature, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (703) 306-5879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.
- 8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located In Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published In the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-7401.

Respectfully,

Art Unit: 1642

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

SHEELA HUFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7